Interview Summary

Application No. **08/913,803**

Applicant(s)

Boccon-Gibod et al

Examiner

Christopher Onuaku

Group Art Unit 2615



(1) Christopher Onuaku	(3)
(2) Francis A. Davenport	
	(4)
Date of Interview Apr 4, 2002	•
Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2)	applicant's representative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)	Mp. If yes, brief description:
Claim(s) discussed: None Identification of prior art discussed: None	
Agreement with respect to the claims f) Navas reached. g) <u>W</u> as not reached. h) N⁄⁄A.
Substance of Interview including description of the general na other comments:	ature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any
Applicant's representative confirmed that no response was fil	led within the statutory period from the last office action.
	A A STATE OF THE S

i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

ANDREW B. CHRISTENSEN PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.